

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

STEVEN BROOKS,

No. C 13-02183 RS

Plaintiff,

**ORDER RE SUPPLEMENTAL
BRIEFING**

v.

SOTHEBY'S, et al.,

Defendants.

Although the assertion that a particular argument, “did not previously occur to counsel for plaintiff” is refreshingly candid, it is not generally recognized as a basis for permitting supplemental briefing. Nevertheless, plaintiff’s proposed supplemental opposition filed June 12, 2013 will be allowed. Defendants may file a supplemental reply not to exceed three pages no later than June 21, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/12/13



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE